

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/722,137	CHIBA, WATARU
	Examiner Jennifer A. Mehmood	Art Unit 2612

All Participants:

(1) Jennifer A. Mehmood.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(2) George A Loud.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 26 December 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 USC 112 & 101

Claims discussed:

14-16

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner contacted attorney to discuss proposed examiner's amendments in order to modify claim language to overcome 35 USC 112 and 101 rejections to place case in better form for allowance.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



DANIEL WU

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)